Family Medicine organization convening focus group: Association of Departments of Family Medicine

Participants (type and name): Leaders of non-profit and for-profit health systems (non-FQHCs)

Date of focus group: October 28, 2020 (90 mins)

What questions did your focus	What themes were developed	Any foundational or critical
group address and discuss?	in the discussion?	components in the themes?
Currently, family docs deliver X% of [type of care, see list below] and/or (depending on what data we have) X% of family docs deliver this care. In 10 years, do you think this will be: • More • Same • less	Hospitalists – 40% more, 40% same, 20% less Very region-specific Distinction between being a hospitalist and providing care in the hospital Even in places where FPs can	Much care may be regionally specific but teaching a full scope is important; team-based care models will be a critical part of practice in the future
	get hospital privileges very few are taking us up on it	
	OB 20% more, 20% same, 60% less Also feels very regional; so critical to continue to provide access to OB care in rural hospitals but lots of issues with credentialing, etc in other places.	Essential to have well trained Family Physicians trained in OB to improve/maintain infant mortality rates
	In places with more OB access, if we build it, will they even come? (experiences say very few take advantage of option even in self-funded malpractice models like Kaiser). But also worth noting that doing prenatal care can help get babies and children into panels (even if don't provide the care during delivery)	
	End of life care – 100% more Not just palliative and hospice care but advanced care planning all the way up and incentivizing this (can't do in a 10 or 15 min visit). As our	Every family physician should be able to do end of life care

	population ages (and as we move to value-based care and reducing readmissions is incentivized), definitely need more training at the residency level. Need to think about team-based care and systems levels too. Continuity of care — May see a shift in the individual provider having continuity with a given patient due to patient desires, push toward telehealth caused by COVID with some providers doing only telehealth, fracturing off of pieces of care; new models for continuity in context of teams.	Expect more continuity of care but it will look different and include new models like teams, different types of visits
What do you see as the role of FPs in your system, and what do you mean by that?	Capturing the importance of primary care at a population level is an opportunity – this is FM's "day in the sun" as systems begin to realize this in the pivot to value-based care. Putting FPs in key system roles – if they are the ones who can look at broad datasets and apply to populations; and showing that flexibility in clinical care translates to skills in looking at delivery system reorganization can help move more FPs into leadership.	Role as leaders of population health and value based care an essential part of our future success
How do you differentiate between IM physicians and FPs within the network in terms of hiring, staffing strategies, etc.?	Do not see much difference in quality outcomes between FM and IM docs but do run into challenges with cross coverage (esp for peds) and do see differences in cost outcomes Some strong feelings about keeping them separated partly for "identity," some challenges creating things like collective	GIM does not seem to be a threat to future because there are so few of them

	primary care service lines due to	
	"turf issues"	
	turrissues	
	In a shared strategy for primary	
	care growth, do see more FPs	
	who can fill clinical space than	
	GIMs – there are just not	
	enough of them	
Do you differentiate between	APPs are critical to team-based	Supportive of APPs in team-
APPs and physicians, esp family	care; we have a primary care	based care models as ways to
physicians, in your hiring and	shortage and we need to have	expand and extend primary care
staffing strategy in your	primary care docs take on a	but not in independent practice
network?	bigger panel with support	
	(extension for primary care)	Training for FM residents must
		continue to be full scope to
	Evidence that APPs do not	differentiate us from APPs
	extend access in rural areas or	
	places of primary care need	
	even in places where they can	
	practice independently	
	Systems may push hiring APPs	
	because they are cheaper but	
	also increase costs by ordering	
	more tests	
	Need to tooch primary care	
	Need to teach primary care	
	docs how to manage APPs and what a functional team-based	
	model looks like	
	inoderiooks like	
What is it that we are NOT	how to run a team, how to	Team-based care and how to
training family docs to do that	supervise - not just social	lead teams
you would like to see the	workers but also APPs. And	1000 1001110
training programs incorporate	recognition of what you need in	
into the training?	terms of support to practice	skills around leadership and
	most efficiently and effectively	translation of system priorities,
	(may be region or clinic specific)	initiatives, financing into patient
	, ,	care models
	interdisciplinary and	
	interprofessional training where	
	they work with other trainees	
	or other disciplines within care	
	team - both inpatient and	
	outpatient	

need to be able to look at data and understand - population management, quality, care under value-based system

how to build programs/start initiatives and gain institutional support

Healthcare financing and how to speak that language to promote care redesign efforts

community assessments and how to engage with community agencies to improve chronic disease outcomes.

how to measure "value of care". In global payment models, FPs can lead in this area for the next decade.

how to have goals of care conversations

Social determinants of health